



Gas Regional Initiative

Coherence and Convergence

Madrid Forum, 17 October 2007

Outline of presentation

- Ø **Background/Overview**
- Ø **Process**
- Ø **Coherence and convergence - introduction & key messages**
 - § **Interconnection and capacity**
 - § **Transparency**
 - § **Interoperability (including gas balancing)**
 - § **Development of gas hubs**
 - § **Other issues to be considered**
- Ø **Ensuring coherence and convergence**
- Ø **Practical success/ Problems encountered**
- Ø **Next steps**

Overview

1 Gas Regional Initiative (GRI)

3 Regional Energy Markets (REM)

§ North-West (NW)

§ South (S)

§ South-South
East (SSE)



• 12%
of total natural gas
consumption



• 58%



• 30%

Figures represent an approximation, France member in two regions

Background

- Ø **GRI is about facilitating practical improvements in gas markets to improve market effectiveness and integration**
 - § **Identifying issues – that cause barriers to market integration**
 - § **Proposing solutions – that will lead to real and practical improvements (e.g. transparency)**
 - § **Implementing plans – ensuring effective delivery of plans**
- Ø **Ensure that approaches and solutions adopted across the three regions do not create barriers for market integration and single market**

Process on track

- Ø 3 gas regional markets ('regions') established and all working effectively to facilitate change
- Ø Priorities identified in all 3 regions, plans developed and solutions being brought forward
- Ø European Commission actively involved and support
- Ø Stakeholders involved – operators are supporting the process; but: more engagement needed to make process work and to deliver improvements
- Ø ERGEG commitment to transparency – e.g. ERGEG website www.ergeg.org – development of “one-stop shop”: Single source containing all relevant information on the GRI

Coherence and convergence – Introduction

- Ø The gas coherence and convergence report follows on from electricity version BUT
- Ø Issue of coherence and convergence not as pronounced as could be in electricity:
 - § Few regions in gas – few borders in more than one region
 - § Two relatively large regions accounting for majority of EU gas consumption
 - § Level of integration of markets in gas –in general– less advanced than electricity (e.g. regional power exchanges)
 - § Detailed legal basis for congestion management in electricity
 - § Higher level of network interconnection in electricity



*Coherence and convergence **within** rather than across regions is an important first step in gas*

Coherence and convergence – key messages

- Ø All regions have identified and pursue similar priority topics - reflecting EU wide problems:
 - Ø Interconnection and capacity
 - Ø Transparency
 - Ø Interoperability (including gas balancing)
 - Ø Development of gas hubs
- Ø Differences are generally due to local market conditions
- Ø Treatment of priority topics broadly similar and in principle allow further integration at later stage
- Ø As more solutions developed it will be key to ensure that they are coherent enough to allow regions to come together as single market

Interconnection & capacity

- Ø **All regions identified need to enhance efficient use of existing capacity and facilitate development of new infrastructure:**
 - § **Day ahead auctions and trading (N-W)**
 - § **Improving congestion management & capacity allocation (N-W)**
 - § **Development of standard bulletin board for trading (S-SE)**
 - § **Development one-stop shop for capacity bookings (S-SE)**
 - § **Coordinated plan for new investment (S)**
 - § **Developing coordinated capacity allocation mechanisms (S)**
 - § **TSOs to develop an overview of current and future investment plans (S and S-SE)**
- Ø **In (S) main focus on facilitating new infrastructure in (S-SE) and (N-W) mainly about more efficient use existing capacity**
- Ø **Differences reflect local market conditions – do not appear to create barriers to further market integration and single market**

Transparency

- Ø **All three regions identified improved transparency as key factor to facilitate market integration**
 - § **Convergence of information for TSO/LSO/SSO (S)**
 - § **Regular update on delivery of investment projects (S)**
 - § **Bulletin board (S-SE)**
 - § **Improving information from TSOs and SSOs (N-W)**
 - § **Developing guidelines for less than 3 rule (N-W)**
- Ø **(N-W) and (S) have consulted market on their requirements and are looking at ways to meet them – should similar approach be taken in S-SE once bulletin board implemented?**
- Ø **Should the less than 3 guidelines be rolled out across all 3 regions once finalised?**
- Ø **Existing transparency requirements in Gas Regulation must be properly implemented across the EU**
- Ø **ERGEG guidelines (e.g. LNG, balancing, storage) include transparency provisions – implementation will help integration**

Interoperability (including gas balancing)

- Ø **All 3 regions are looking at issues relating to interoperability**
 - § **Regional entry-exit tariff system and balancing (S-SE)**
 - § **Development of IPAs and OBAs (S-SE)**
 - § **Report on interaction between neighbouring gas balancing mechanisms (N-W)**
 - § **Improving balancing transparency (N-NW)**
 - § **Development of coordinated and harmonised operational procedures (S)**
- Ø **Each region needs to focus on interoperability within region as a priority**
- Ø **Other initiatives on interoperability exist (Commission mandate to CEN, EASEE-gas work, individual regulator work on gas quality)**
- Ø **There is a question of how a regional entry-exit tariff system will operate next to the other regions (particularly N-W) and whether any difficulties for convergence are created**

Development of gas hubs – gas trading

- Ø **Significant current differences across 3 regions in the development of hubs – but a key priority for all**
 - § Initial work on liquidity at all hubs – now focusing on GTF initially to improve liquidity (N-W)
 - § Developing MIBGAS into the regional initiative as a starting point for development of a gas hub (S)
 - § Development of existing hubs as regional balancing points (S-SE)
- Ø **Work differs in its focus across the 3 regions – but generally reflects differences in market development, e.g. need action for a new hub in (S)**
- Ø **Solutions for each hub may differ depending on respective issues they face**
- Ø **Question of whether there is a need to look at development of regional hubs as in (S-SE) although need to think about impact on balancing markets**

Other issues to be considered

- Ø Regulatory gaps for cross-border cooperation between regulators is potential key barrier to market integration
- Ø Commission's 3rd package aims to fill the gaps
- Ø However: More buy-in from member states/governments needed
- Ø The (N-W) region has developed a MoU for regulators to facilitate better cooperation, e.g. on consultation, sharing information, market development and design – a way of filling the gap for now. MoU will be signed on 25 October – plans for effective implementation being taken forward
- Ø The (S) region has signed an agreement between the Spanish and Portuguese Government that facilitates and speeds up the procedure
- è MoU as role model for other regions until the 3rd package takes effect

Ensuring coherence and convergence

- Ø This issue is not as pronounced as it could be in electricity
- Ø However: Important to monitor developments as more solutions to region specific issues are brought forward
- Ø ERGEG will provide an update on the issue in its annual report and will report again to Madrid Forum
- Ø Implementation of ERGEG guidelines on balancing, storage, LNG and open season will help improve consistency and market integration
- Ø Regulatory gaps and legal issues could hinder integration
 - § Differences in competencies of regulators (MoU in N-W region developed to help fill gap)
 - § Lack of flexibility in national legislation (e.g. auction in N-W region)
 - § Impact of changing market design – national vs EU impact

Practical Success – NW region (1)

1. More transparency

- Enlargement of available information on storage levels in NW Europe.
- Data from numerous questionnaire was discussed among stakeholders and lead to better understanding of the problems faced at e.g. stream of primary markets (capacity congestion management).
- New publications at Taisnières (Fluxys: capacity) and Obergailbach (GDFDT: flows)

2. More (access to) capacity on the way

- Co-ordinated open seasons between Fluxys and GRTgaz at Taisnières
- Launch of secondary Day Ahead Pilot planned by APX and/or TRAC-X at Oude Statenzijl/Bunde and Ellund in q108. More news expected at SG on 24.10.07
Sub-achievements Day Ahead Pilot:
 - i Implementation leadtime for capacity transfer at Oude Statenzijl and Ellund shortened from 10 day to 3 hours by TSO's involved in the pilot.
 - i Questionnaire and subsequent analysis on wishes for day ahead pilot for NW-region published.
 - Pilot can serve as evaluation example for EU-27 for question like Do we need centralised x-border platforms for getting liquidity in 2ndary capacity trading and this way addressing contractual congestion of capacity.
 - i Pending challenges identified (also success): clarification of the legal framework and identification of perceived legal bottlenecks, contract development for trading by platforms and EFET, non-discriminatory interfaces between TSO's and platform operators.
- Implementation of a service of “conditional transit” at Taisnières to improve the use of Fluxys'grid

Practical Success – NW region (2)

3. More x-border regulatory co-ordination:

- MoU between Regulators of the NW region to be signed on 23.10.07.
- Regulators have now more than just contact list to call each other. So we await good things for 2008.

4. Sound dialogue between regional players established. More progress to be reported on SG 24.10.07

- Transparency in capacity of flows
- Balancing: analysis of 'gaps' when flowing x-border gas
- Hubs: work on GTF and extension to more hubs
- Investments (lead with TSO's): decision on a website with overview of open seasons in NW region

Practical Success – South region

- Ø **A coordinated open season procedure is being analysed by the French and Spanish TSOs under the supervision of NRAs**
- Ø **Common new infrastructure plan to develop further capacity in the French-Spanish interconnections**
- Ø **TSOs committed to publish periodically update the status of development of the French-Spanish interconnections**
- Ø **Agreement between the governments of Portugal and Spain on the development of MIBGAS**
- Ø **TSOs, LSOs and SSOs committed to improve transparency according to the consultation analysis made by regulators**

Practical Success – SSE region

- Ø TSOs and SSOs agreed to make capacity expansion plans / future investment transparent and to establish a regional Investment Plan
- Ø CEGH and EFET agreed on a plan by stages to develop the Hub in Baumgarten as a regional balancing point
- Ø TSOs committed to implement a standardized bulletin board for secondary market trading
- Ø TSOs committed to offer a one-stop shop service for capacity bookings if requested by shippers

Problems encountered – process

- Ø **NW**: More creative solutions from shippers is needed to take work further. Your commitment today helps.
- Ø **S**: General agreement by TSOs to a common interconnection investment agenda – but still progress is slow (different decision procedure to approve investments, different capacity allocation mechanisms in the different countries...)
- Ø **SSE**: Commitment is needed for implementation of IPAs and OBAs, defaulting TSOs are requested to finalize their agreements soon (eg. Baumgarten,...)

Problems encountered – legal

Ø **Daily auction of primary and secondary capacity:**

Primary capacity: Dutch as well as German legislation prescribes fcfs principle

Secondary capacity: German regulation prescribes that prices for capacity on the secondary market may not be significantly higher than the tariffs on the primary market

Ø **Data exchange:**

Exchange of confidential information between NRA's is in most cases impeded by law. In the work of the regional initiative this proves to be a real bottleneck as it is difficult to analyse for example interconnection points. These impediments have been reported to the appropriate ministries.

Ø **TSO cooperation:**

Missing framework for effective TSO x-border cooperation with regard to capacity allocation, congestion management, harmonising and bundling of capacity products and investment

Next steps and looking forward

- Ø **Public consultation on GRI coherence and convergence report until 16 December**
- Ø **ERGEG Regional Initiative Annual Conference and Annual Report – Early March 2008**
- Ø **Key challenge for 2008 is to strengthen active stakeholder (shipper) participation.**
- Ø **As 3rd package discussion unfolds, we are happy to continue paving the way through regional co-operation.**

Further information

WWW: www.ergeg.org

Contact: **Walter Boltz**

Email: **walter.boltz@e-control.at**

Tel.: **+43 1 24 7 24 201**

Fax: **+43 1 24 7 24 900**