

CECODHAS HOUSING EUROPE

Draft Response to DG Energy Consultation on Financial Support for Energy Efficiency in Buildings
Deadline 18th May 2011

CECODHAS HOUSING EUROPE

CONSULTATION RESPONSE / May 2012

based on Questionnaire answered by Members to be submitted for comments May 14th



How to optimize the role of the European Union as a leader in Energy Efficiency Investment

Consultation with CECODHAS Housing Europe Members

CECODHAS Housing Europe welcomes the opportunity presented by the European Commission launching of its Consultation on 'Financial Support for Energy Efficiency in Buildings' to provide feedback from the ground on its energy efficiency investment strategy.

Within the framework of the Nearly Zero energy challenge, we have asked our members to give us a short update on the situation in their countries, their view on EU funding and financing tools and also provide us with suggestions on measures which could smooth the way for increased activity.

We also collected initial comments on the expected financial implications of new standards contained in the EPBD(2).

Overarching policy coherence review to be carried out at EU level

The obstacles identified were deemed to be correct while some omissions of barriers which could potentially be tackled at EU level were identified.¹ While the EU clearly describes energy efficiency in housing as a no regrets investment option there are some overarching EU regulations which have a counter effect and potentially hamper investment flows at local level.

Examples identified are EU fiscal consolidation guidelines which block spending on energy efficiency at municipality level.
EU state aid rules which due to interpretation at national level lead to confusion on the criteria on what can qualify as co-funding of EU financing need clarification.



A third contradiction which has been reported is that drops in consumption are not necessarily leading to drop in bills for end users thus jeopardizing one of main drivers of energy efficiency policies : increased affordability.

Members report isolated cases of this such as district heating bills which do not go down when consumption goes down, electricity companies charging higher rates for lower consumption because of infrastructure costs. These complaints require further review within the context of the review of the 3rd package.

A review of construction and renovation price trends in the EU would also be welcomed by Members.

EU funding and Financial Tools: Adaptation: Scale and Timing would improve usefulness

Technical assistance offered by initiatives such as ELENA are in line with a real need at local level. These should be made permanent but should be possible to use on smaller projects and should be accessible in the appropriate scale and timeframe.

European Regional Development Funding and European Social Fund

Current proposals for 2014 – 2020 fitted to needs;



Clarification on potential clashes with state aid regulation are needed;

¹ 2050 energy roadmap

Call for obligatory spending on housing if indicators such as fuel poverty and high CO2 footprint could be considered to overcome low political will in some Member State level.

Area-based interventions to be encouraged.

Need for capacity building to assist in application process.

- *More information? See policy recommendations from IEE project SF Energy Invest*

New Funding Streams

Social Housing Organisations could become recognized energy efficiency providers. Clear energy Efficiency Feed-in Tariffs are needed – This has been implemented in the form of supplier obligations in the UK and white certificates in France, but require a significant boost.

Use of Carbon Credits to fund refurbishment is reported by some Members States (Latvia, Czech Republic) but this is not widespread and requires further investigation.

Local energy provision: National tax law often acts as a deterrent for local housing organisations to become energy producers. Local production of renewable energy efficiency due to reduced transportation – also as a way to finance efficiency

Energy Service Contracting

Energy Service contracting in the housing sector has not taken off this is partly due to the perception of risk of non –payment by end – users.

To address this a guarantee fund could give a boost to market development.

There is a need for measures (capacity building/intermediary bodies) to ensure energy service contracts protect sufficiently the end user and the client.

There is a wide perception among membership that energy service contracting or collaboration with ESCOs is only to be considered for measures with a short-term pay back, such as replacement

of heating system with systems based on co-generation.

- *More information? See policy recommendations from IEE Project FRESH*

Bankability

Skills in accurate stock auditing integrated into local heating, cooling and energy planning are needed to increase attractiveness of investments.

Guarantee funds for financiers have a role to play. The European Investment Bank provision of such a fund could help to kick-start investment.

National Obstacles and Solutions

Characteristics and ownership of housing stock

Privatization of building stock is obviously not the best strategy to follow if you wish to implement large scale refurbishment projects.

It is not by chance that countries who have made the most progress in reducing energy consumption of their stock have a high proportion of stock managed by non-for profit housing organisations.

Refurbishment strategies in stock with multiple owners is obviously much more complex and time consuming.

Housing organisations have the skills to assist authorities in addressing also the private residential stock

Competencies in refurbishment planning and implementation are now highly concentrated in the social housing sector. These skills can be used to address the private stock with appropriate collaboration with local authorities. This would encourage area-based refurbishment as refurbishment based on ownership and thereby achieve economies of scale and cut down on costs associated with

Reversal of privatization of stock proposed in some cases:

“In Bulgaria the BHA is promoting the need for the establishment of a social rental housing sector as a solution to the poor housing conditions of

low income private flat owners in multi-apartment blocks now falling into disrepair housing people in large numbers, often the equivalent of a small village.” Eleonora G. Bulgarian Housing Association, BHA

Political priorities and energy mix in the housing sector

Political will to focus on energy efficiency in housing is lacking in some countries. Unwillingness at central government level to touch often recently privatized housing can be a factor. Underlying factors can include the energy mix and import dependency levels.

VAT as a tool

Low VAT rates on maintenance, renovation, rent are cited as a useful way to help boost refurbishment rates. In some cases these are applicable only in the private sector and not for social housing organisations or housing cooperatives.

Split incentive

Split incentive: The so-called Split incentive to be tackled through rent regulation whereby an energy correction factor' is introduced in to rent calculation or a living cost as opposed to rent + heating/cooling bills.

Improvement in delivery of 'refurbishment'

Integrated renovation and building concepts (integrated project design) will bring down cost.

- *More information?: See policy recommendations of the IEE project SHELTER*

Over-arching Observations

Complexity – One clear funding stream needed



Currently there are a range of different funds with different criteria for access; One stream which brings together all the possible funding and functions such as technical assistance and

low interest loans for refurbishment would boost take up.

Continuity and Timing

Funding and financial opportunities for housing refurbishment must match the long term planning required by stock managers. Stop-start incentive schemes will increase risk perception and decrease trust in the market.

Likewise were a certain speed is required to access funding for example for technical assistance, long periods writing application and waiting for results (ELENA, MLEI) do not help.

The Human Dimension: Not included in the financial estimations

In parallel to roadmap towards increasing nearly Zero energy buildings Member States need a road map to bring citizens along with the energy transition in the residential sector.

«I something have the impression that low energy housing engineers feel that people should stay outside so that they do not interfere with the perfect energy- efficient house they have created
« Ralph Protz, Kompetenz Zentrum, Berlin

Significant un-recognised costs

Housing organisations have estimated that 15% of refurbishment cost is community outreach, advice, information and where needed the re-housing or temporary housing of residents during renovation.

There is a need for a large-scale roll out of these outreach services throughout the EU.

Re-bound effect

Where a lack of awareness is an issues, reductions in energy usage after refurbishment cannot be guaranteed.

Under-heating

Post refurbishment rises in energy consumption cannot always be explained by is the phenomena of under-heating, common in low income households where refurbishment may result in an increase in the use of heating – this is known as the re-bound effect.

Recommendations :

At EU level

- ✓ Review of EU policy coherence to identify possible signals on economic governance state aid, energy /construction market regulation which could contradict energy efficiency goals;
- ✓ Boost ELENA and MLEI and increase usability by ensuring scale and timing correspond to needs of those organisations who are ready to act;
- ✓ Establish EU fund to provide guarantees for energy efficiency financiers (banks, ESCOs);
- ✓ Facilitate exchange of know-how/experience;

EU to promote at national level

- ✓ Long-term, low-interest loans – possibly with support of EU guarantee fund;
- ✓ VAT policies which promote refurbishment
- ✓ One fund /point of reference for energy efficiency providers;
- ✓ Development of reliable market for energy efficiency provision;
- ✓ Address regulatory obstacles to local energy provision;
- ✓ Fair solutions to the split incentive;
- ✓ nZEB Roadmaps which integrate the human dimension including the cost
- ✓ Long-term regulatory frameworks which guarantee continuity;

- In Annex – overview of questionnaires
- For More information and best practices:
www.powerhouseeurope.eu/
www.housingeurope.eu

CECODHAS Housing Europe ‘ The Federation of public, cooperative and social housing’, is a network of national and regional social housing federations gathering 4.500 public, voluntary housing organisations and 28.000 cooperatives housing. Together the 45 members in 19 EU members States **manage 25 million dwellings**. CECODHAS members work together **for a Europe that provides access to decent and affordable housing for all in communities which are socially, economically and environmentally sustainable and where all are enabled to reach their full potential.**