



**INVITATION TO TENDER No ENER/A4/2014-516**  
**Concerning**  
**A SERVICE CONTRACT**  
**For**

**A Framework Contract for Impact Assessments and Evaluations (Ex-ante, Intermediate and Ex-post) in the field of Energy**

**CORRIGENDUM No. 5**

**In point 2.4.2 a) and b) of the technical specifications:**  
**INSTEAD OF**

2.4.2 Quality of the proposed methodology (30 points - – minimum threshold 60%)

- a. This criterion will assess how the tenderer proposes to mobilise adequate resources in the frame of the two cases studies in terms of language/member State coverage and specific expertise. It also assesses how the roles and responsibilities of the proposed team and of the economic operators (in case of joint tenders, including subcontractors if applicable) are distributed for each task and how coordination will be ensured. It also assesses the global allocation of time and resources to the project and to each task or deliverable, and whether this allocation is adequate for the work.
- b. The points will be allocated on the basis of the following sections of the technical offer (see section 3.4)
  - o Case study on impact assessment : 15 points
  - o Case study on evaluation : 15 points

**PLEASE READ**

2.4.2 Quality of the proposed methodology (30 points – minimum threshold 60%)

- a) This criterion will assess the suitability of the methodology proposed by the tenderer to address the case studies. It also assesses the explanation of the tenderer of the suggested methodology and the reasoning behind the choice of methodology. The relevance of the approach to economic modelling, surveying techniques, cost-benefit analysis techniques and other quantitative analysis tools, including indicators and quantitative tools for impact assessment and evaluation is essential. Methodologies shall respect the Commission's impact assessment and evaluation requirements.
- b) The points will be allocated on the basis of the following sections of the technical offer (see section 3.4):
  - o Case study on impact assessment (15 points)
  - o Case study on evaluation (15 points)