

EUROPEAN COMMISSION DIRECTORATE-GENERAL FOR ENERGY

Directorate D - Nuclear Energy, Safety and ITER D.3 – Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety

### Main Conclusions of the Commission's Article 35 verification

## THE NETHERLANDS

# Routine and emergency radioactivity monitoring arrangements Monitoring of radioactivity in drinking water and foodstuffs

Dates

4 -6 July 2017

Verification team

Mr V. Tanner Mr S. McAllister

Reference

NL 17-01

### INTRODUCTION

Article 35 of the Euratom Treaty requires that each Member State shall establish facilities necessary to carry out continuous monitoring of the levels of radioactivity in air, water and soil and to ensure compliance with the basic safety standards<sup>1</sup>.

Article 35 also gives the European Commission (EC) the right of access to such facilities in order that it may verify their operation and efficiency.

The radiation protection and nuclear safety unit (ENER D.3) of the EC's Directorate-General for Energy (DG ENER) is responsible for undertaking these verifications.

The main purpose of verifications performed under Article 35 of the Euratom Treaty is to provide an independent assessment of the adequacy of monitoring facilities for

- Liquid and airborne discharges of radioactivity into the environment by a site;
- Levels of environmental radioactivity at the site perimeter and in the marine, terrestrial and aquatic environment around the site, for all relevant pathways;
- Levels of environmental radioactivity on the territory of the Member State.

For the purpose of such a review, a verification team from DG ENER visited the Netherlands on 4 - 6 July 2017. This mission dealt with

- Environmental radiological monitoring programme and activities as implemented in the Netherlands, including sampling and monitoring systems, analytical methods, quality assurance and control aspects, reporting, etc.;
- Measuring laboratories, in particular infrastructure, analytical methods, quality assurance and control aspects, as well as reporting.

The present document gives an overview of the main conclusions by the verification team concerning relevant aspects of the environmental surveillance and corresponding recommendations. More detailed information concerning the verification is available in the technical report (TR) of the verification.

#### MAIN CONCLUSIONS

All verifications that had been planned by the verification team were completed successfully. The information supplied by the Dutch authorities in advance of the visit, as well as the additional documentation received during and after the verification was useful.

- (1) The verification activities that were performed demonstrated that the facilities necessary to carry out continuous monitoring of radioactivity in the air, water and soil in the Netherlands are adequate. The Commission services could verify the operation and efficiency of a representative part of these facilities.
- (2) One suggestion for improvement has been formulated. This concerns the capability to monitor gaseous <sup>131</sup>L in the event of an emergency (Section 6.2.1 of the TR).
- (3) The detailed verification findings are compiled in the 'Technical Report' that is addressed to the Dutch competent authorities through the Netherlands Permanent Representation to the European Union.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Council Directive 96/29/Euratom of 13 May 1996 laying down basic safety standards for the protection of the health of workers and the general public against the dangers arising from ionizing radiation (OJ L-159 of 29/06/1996) which will be superseded by Council Directive 2013/59/Euratom of 5 December 2013 laying down basic safety standards for protection against the dangers arising from exposure to ionising radiation, and repealing Directives 89/618/Euratom, 90/641/Euratom, 96/29/Euratom, 97/43/Euratom and 2003/122/Euratom (OJ L 13 of 17.1.2014, p. 1).

- (4) The Commission services request a report on any significant changes in the set-up of the monitoring systems before the end of 2019. Based on this report the Commission will consider the need for a follow-up verification.
- (5) Finally, the verification team acknowledges the excellent co-operation it received from all persons involved in the activities it performed.

V. Tanner

Team Leader