

3.1 Highlights on implementation of electricity NCs – Bidding zone review

Christophe Gence-Creux, Head of ACER Electricity Department

Reaction

33rd Florence Forum 30/31 May 2018



3.1. Highlights on implementation of electricity NCs Lessons learnt on the bidding zone review

- A very large consensus among NRAs that there is a true need for a BZ reconfiguration.
- The BZ configuration should be designed to accommodate for the most efficient congestion management, rather than impose constraints on its efficiency.
- A unanimous consensus among NRAs that this BZ review process cannot deliver any meaningful results as long as it is so heavily driven by political considerations
- Governance of the process (driven by ENTSO-E) has been criticized by NRAs:
 (https://docstore.entsoe.eu/Documents/Network%20codes%20documents/Implementation/stakeholder_committees/MESC/2018-03-06/20180302%20Feedback%20on%20BZR%20Process.pdf?Web=0)
- The ACER Recommendation on CCM and CSR was an attempt to get around this political reluctance in the CACM GL framework
- ACER/NRAs are extremely concerned about the direction taken by the ongoing CEP discussions about art. 13&14:
 - → No substantial improvement of the governance framework for the BZ review process
 - → Clear deviation from the maximisation of capacities offered to the market, free movement of goods and some NRAs' core competences on congestion management put into question
 - → The possibility for MSs to get out the CACM GL implementation framework until 2025/2026 puts at risk the IEM process!



The Market Integration process and the idea of a fully integrated IEM are in serious danger

Let us implement the CACM GL!