

From: Stanisław Tokarski [mailto:stanislaw@tokarski.jaw.pl]  
Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 2:42 PM  
To: CLIMA ENERGY GREEN PAPER 2030  
Subject: Consultation on Green Paper 2030 - citizen

Dear Sirs,

In response to the public consultation on the Green Paper on a 2030 framework for climate and energy policies, I would like to express my worries connected with the proposed document.

Conclusions:

The most important priorities of the EU's energy and climate policy should be:

- Remain competitive economies in the European Union in the global market, which should be done by stopping the unnecessary increase in energy prices. The current proposals for the 'Green Paper' lead to quite opposite results.
- Ensuring energy security - including in particular the security of supply. The preference for such a large share of renewable aspect is neglected.
- Leaving available to choose all the technological options and preference for market action that will promote the best and cheapest solutions - including energy from nuclear power plants. Interference in the energy mixes of the Member States is in contrary to the provisions of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.
- Adjustment of different tools for the various purposes.

The proposal that the EU ETS itself served reducing emissions at the lowest cost, stimulate for the development of renewable energy and at the same time a way to boost innovation is self-contradictory. To this must be used in a variety of instruments.

Proposed "Green Paper" as presented by the Commission is premature. Its implementation leads to an increase in electricity prices, which will translate to a reduction in the competitiveness of the economies of the European Union, particularly those in which the important role played by energy-intensive industry, the escaping abroad (seen moving production to the U.S. from China!) and additional load households. It minimizes the role previously used technology which, from the point of view of energy independence and ensure energy security, is a negative solution.

Arguments:

- Formulating long-term objectives without prior international agreements, is premature.
- Formulating long-term objectives must be preceded by a thorough analysis of the costs broken down by countries.
- The document focuses on further reducing emissions and increasing the use of renewable energy in the EU and this philosophy leads to increase electricity prices and reflects in a loss of competitiveness of the energy industry. The European philosophy should improve competitiveness and reduce energy consumption.
- The EU ETS - to make records of Roadmap 2050 is unjustified because the Roadmap 2050 is not binding.
- The Commission did not provide analysis of the lost jobs,

especially jobs which will be replaced by new jobs in these regions where employment will be reduced.

- It should be emphasized that renewable energy sources are strongly supported, this mechanism distorts the market.
- In this document, there is no reference to the issue of energy security.

Best Regards  
Stanisław Tokarski