

Brussels, 22.11.2022 C(2022) 8495 final

# **COMMISSION OPINION**

of 22.11.2022

under Regulation (EU) No 2019/941, on risk-preparedness in the electricity sector and repealing Directive 2005/89/EC, on the Risk-preparedness Plan submitted by the Competent Authority of Poland to the European Commission.

Only the Polish text is authentic

EN EN

#### COMMISSION OPINION

# of 22.11.2022

under Regulation (EU) No 2019/941, on risk-preparedness in the electricity sector and repealing Directive 2005/89/EC, on the Risk-preparedness Plan submitted by the Competent Authority of Poland to the European Commission.

Only the Polish text is authentic

#### 1. PROCEDURE

Article 10 of Regulation (EU) 2019/941 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on risk-preparedness in the electricity sector and repealing Directive 2005/89/EC<sup>1</sup> (the "Regulation") requires the Competent Authority of each Member State to establish a Risk-Preparedness Plan ("RPP"). In accordance with Article 10 (8) of the Regulation, the RPPs have to be updated every four years, unless circumstances require updates that are more frequent. The consultation between Competent Authorities and the Electricity Coordination Group provided for under Article 10(4) of the Regulation has to be carried out before the adoption of the RPP.

The RPP (as well as its updates) need to be based on the regional electricity crisis scenarios identified by ENTSO-E<sup>2</sup> pursuant to Article 6 of the Regulation as well as the national electricity crisis scenarios that each Competent Authority has to identify before the adoption of the RPP pursuant to Article 7 of the Regulation. The electricity crisis scenarios must be identified in relation to system adequacy, system security and fuel security and considering, among others, extreme natural hazards, accidental hazards and consequential hazards, including the consequences of malicious attacks.

The Competent Authority of Poland, the Minister of Climate and Environment ("MCE"), notified its draft RPP to the Electricity Coordination Group for the purpose of the consultation required by Article 10(4) of the Regulation on 7 May 2021. MCE notified to the Commission on 17 January 2022 its final RPP.

After having assessed the RPP, in view of the criteria mentioned in Article 11 of the Regulation and the templates provided for in the Annex to the Regulation, and having consulted the Electricity Coordination Group between 17 January and 8 February 2022, the Commission has the following remarks on the RPP.

## 2. COMMISSION'S ASSESSMENT OF THE RISK-PREPAREDNESS PLAN

The RPP is quite comprehensive in the description of the national framework and measures. It describes how the national scenarios have been identified, its consultation process and the

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> OJ L 158, 14.6.2019, p. 1–21.

European Network of Transmission System Operators for Electricity

results of such consultation. The RPP is also quite comprehensive in the description of responsibilities and procedures by the different agents, including the cooperation between institutions. The RPP describes in detail the mechanisms used to inform the public about electricity crisis.

Nevertheless, the Commission considers that some elements of the RPP do not fully comply with the requirements of the Regulation.

# 2.1 Risk-Preparedness Plan (RPP)

## 2.1.1. Missing information on the electricity crisis scenarios

Pursuant to Article 7 of the Regulation, each competent authority has to identify the most relevant national electricity crisis scenarios on the basis of at least certain risks (rare and extreme natural hazards, accidental hazards and consequential hazards, including malicious attacks and fuel shortages). These scenarios have to be consistent with the regional electricity crisis scenarios identified by ENTSO-E pursuant to Article 6 of the Regulation. The national and regional electricity crisis scenarios are the basis on which the Competent Authority has to establish the RPP in accordance with Article 10(1) of the Regulation, and the RPP must include a summary of the electricity crisis scenarios defined for the Member State and the region, in accordance with Article 11(1)(a) of the Regulation and point 1 of the Annex thereto.

The Polish RPP includes the list of regional electricity crisis scenarios identified by ENTSO-E, without providing any description. All the regional scenarios, but for three, have been considered as national electricity crisis scenarios. The other three were classified as insignificant for Poland. In the absence of any further information, it is not possible to conclude from the RPP what the concrete scenarios simulated for the Poland are, also because the regional scenarios identified by ENTSO-E do not provide such level of national detail.

The Commission considers that the RPP submitted by MCE needs to be amended to further describe the national scenarios considered. This information on the national scenarios is necessary to assess the completeness and effectiveness of the preventive and mitigating measures contained in the RPP. Moreover, this information is also relevant for other Member States, notably within the same region, to understand the potential impacts and shared challenges that a number of these scenarios may pose.

The Commission also considers that a refined assessment of the crisis scenarios is particularly necessary following the dramatic changes in the EU security situation as a result of the invasion of Ukraine by Russia. Consequently, the Commission takes the view that the RPP submitted by MCE needs to be updated in the light of these circumstances, focusing on geopolitical risks, dependence on fuels and on other supply chains from third countries (including fuel-switching possibilities) and spill over effects from other sectors into electricity (e.g. increase in electricity demand for heating purposes in the absence of other fuels). The Commission reminds MCE that Article 10(8) of the Regulation already requires updating the RPP more frequently than every 4 years where circumstances so warrant.

The Commission recommends that the description of the scenarios includes:

- A clear link between the national and regional scenarios, including the assumptions for its selection and/or rejection.
- A description of the scope, including the national and regional characterization of the hazard.

- The characterization of the selected scenario, including the cross-sector and cross-border interdependencies, initial condition of the system prior to the initiating event, assets exposure and vulnerabilities (based on damage curves if available), and the time-horizon and assumptions applied.
- Account and timeline of events, including the description of initiating events and chain of events. The description should include the coping mechanisms and characterization of the response, including the applicable procedures and measures at national and regional level.
- Impacts on the electricity system and assets, including electricity flows and consequences. The assessment should include a quantitative analysis in terms of EENS<sup>3</sup>/LOLE<sup>4</sup> estimates and/or other quantitative values, as well as possible spill over effects to other sectors, e.g. to the gas sector.
- Specifically for scenarios on cyber-risks, a reference to a framework with minimum and advanced cybersecurity requirements, procedures to follow in case of an incident, a description of the roles and interactions between the competent authority and the cyber-specific actors, such as CSIRT<sup>5</sup>, CERT<sup>6</sup> and cyber-specific authorities, including during a crisis, and the links with cyber specific legislation.
- Climate change and environment considerations, such as climate vulnerability and risks and environmental impacts, including with a view to design preventive measures against the climate and environmental risks identified in order to reduce exposure and vulnerability to the risks. This would include an assessment of the reduction or increase of GHG emissions and the environmental impacts resulting from prevention and mitigation measures included in the RPP.

# 2.1.2. Missing information on regional and bilateral measures for cooperation and assistance among Member States

Pursuant to Article 15 of the Regulation, Member States have to cooperate in a spirit of solidarity in order to prevent or manage crises. Where they have the technical ability, Member States have to offer each other assistance by means of regional measures (with Member States within their region) and bilateral measures (with Member States to which they are directly connected but do not belong to the same region). Such regional and bilateral measures must be described in the RPP in accordance with Articles 12 and 15 of the Regulation and point 3(2)(b) of the Annex thereto, as well as the national measures necessary to implement them pursuant Article 11(1)(j) of the Regulation.

The RPP submitted by MCE refers to regional and bilateral cooperation among Transmission System Operators (TSOs) through agreed inter-operator agreements. However, and absent further information, it is unclear whether such agreements would meet the requirements for cooperation and assistance described in Article 15 of the Regulation. This type of agreement is often limited to the content of the network codes and not going beyond operation rules. Consequently, on its own, it could fall short of the requirements of Article 15 of the Regulation, that require cooperation and assistance for the ultimate purpose of protecting

Expected Energy Not-Served (EENS) as defined in Article 2(1)(e) of the methodology for identifying regional electricity crisis scenarios established in accordance with Article 5 of the Regulation.

Loss of Load Expectation (LOLE) as defined in Article 2(1)(g) of the methodology for identifying regional electricity crisis scenarios established in accordance with Article 5 of the Regulation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Computer Security Incident Response Team

<sup>6</sup> Computer Emergency Response Team

public safety and personal security, going thus beyond the typically technical requirements of inter-operator agreements.

The Commission considers that the Polish RPP has to be amended to include the regional and bilateral measures required by the Regulation, including any necessary technical, legal and financial arrangements, as well as the national measures necessary to implement them.

# 2.1.3. Missing definition of electricity crisis

Article 2(9) of the Regulation defines an electricity crisis as a present or imminent situation in which there is a significant electricity shortage, as determined by the Member States and described in their RPPs, or in which it is impossible to supply electricity to consumers.

The Polish RPP does not contain a definition of electricity crisis.

The Commission considers that the RPP submitted by MCE needs to be amended to include a definition of electricity crisis as required by the Regulation.

# 2.1.4. Other missing items

The Regulation also requires that:

- The RPP provides a framework for manual load shedding, specifying which categories of electricity users are entitled to receive special protection, pursuant to Article 11(1)(h) of the Regulation as well as point 3(1)(d) of the Annex thereto.
- The RPP includes information on the future grid that will help to cope with the electricity crisis scenarios pursuant to Article 11(1)(k) of the Regulation.
- Member States consult a certain number of relevant stakeholders before they establish their RPP pursuant to Article 10(1) of the Regulation. The RPP must describe the mechanism used and the results of the consultations carried out for the development of the RPP, in accordance with point 5 of the Annex to the Regulation.
- The competent authorities test periodically the effectiveness of the procedures developed in the RPPs for preventing electricity crises, with the involvement of relevant stakeholders and including the mechanisms to share information and cooperate, and carry out biennial simulations of electricity crises, pursuant to Article 12(3) of the Regulation as well as point 6 of the Annex thereto.

The RPP describes the framework for a restriction on customer power consumption under section 3.1.4 and identifies a list of electricity users entitled to receive special protection against disconnection according to the national legislation, but it does not specify how the TSOs and distribution system operators will decrease consumption.

The RPP submitted by MCE does not include any references to future grid development that will help to cope with the consequences of the identified electricity crisis scenarios nor indicates why this would not be necessary.

The Polish RPP explains the composition of the working team established for the preparation of the plan and the international consultation process foreseen. However, the Polish RPP does not describe the consultations with all the stakeholders required by the Regulation nor the results of such consultations.

The Polish RPP includes training exercises for dispatchers with neighbouring TSO dispatchers and plans some further real time crisis simulations at national level. However, the

RPP does not include any references to biennial regional real time response simulations of electricity crises, including the procedures agreed and the actors involved.

The Commission takes the view that the RPP submitted by MCE has to be amended to include the missing information indicated above. Moreover, and given the current exceptional circumstances, the Commission recommends that MCE accelerates any calendar for the mandatory tests on the effectiveness of the procedures developed in its RPP. These tests should be carried as soon as possible and with a focus on winter 2022-2023. They should cover regional and national measures and communication and coordination protocols, in cooperation with neighbouring countries within the region. These tests should help improve the existing measures and the mechanisms for cooperation and communication, and identify additional national and regional measures (the latter preferably jointly with regional partners).

#### 2.2 Other comments

Apart from the substantive remarks presented above, the Commission would like to draw the attention of the MCE to some other elements of the submitted RPP, which do not raise legal concerns in terms of their compatibility with the elements mentioned in Article 13(2)(a) to (f) of the Regulation, but which may provide useful guidance to the Competent Authority for future amendments of the RPP.

- The Polish RPP includes a list of mitigating measures at national level to be adopted during a crisis under section 3.1.3. The RPP should include more information on these measures, including the triggers and conditions for their application.
- The Polish RPP should describe in more detail the content of the agreed interoperator agreements with TSOs listed under section 3.2.
- While the RPP does not refer to preventive or mitigating measures that could have an impact on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (e.g. back-up fossil fuel generation or the deployment of additional fossil fuel capacity), the Commission reminds MCE that such measures should be listed in the RPP if they existed. In such case, the Commission also recommends that the risk/impact on GHG emissions is quantified and assessed to determine the alignment of the RPP with the climate neutrality goal.

#### 3. CONCLUSION

Based on the above assessment, and in view of Article 13(2)(c) of the Regulation, the Commission concludes that some elements of the RPP submitted by MCE do not comply with certain provisions of this Regulation.

The Commission requests MCE to amend the RPP taking duly into consideration all the concerns expressed by the Commission in the present opinion and notify the amended RPP to the Commission within three months of receipt of this opinion pursuant to Article 13(3) of the Regulation. In view of the circumstances following Russia's invasion of Ukraine, the Commissions recommends to prioritise the focused update of the RPP described in section 2.1.1, the test on the effectiveness of the procedures developed in the RPP referred to in section 2.1.4, the missing information on regional and bilateral measures for cooperation and assistance among Member States described in section 2.1.2 and the detailed description of national electricity crisis scenarios described in section 2.1.1. Furthermore, the Commission urges Poland to take into consideration the Council Recommendation, proposed by the European Commission on 18 October 2022, on a coordinated approach to strengthen the

resilience of critical infrastructure in the EU, and, in particular, the results of the stress tests of critical infrastructure foreseen therein.

The Commission's assessment expressed in this opinion is without prejudice to any position it may take vis-à-vis Poland as regards the compatibility of national measures with EU law, including in the context of infringement proceedings and the enforcement of European Union competition rules, including State aid rules.

The Commission will publish this opinion. The Commission does not consider the information contained herein to be confidential, in particular as it relates to the RPP which is publicly available. MCE is invited to inform the Commission within five working days following receipt of the opinion whether it considers that it contains commercially sensitive information, the confidentiality of which is to be preserved.

Done at Brussels, 22.11.2022

For the Commission Kadri SIMSON Member of the Commission